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Abstract

Background: The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and introduction of various restrictions resulted in drastic changes to “traditional” primary healthcare service delivery modalities.

Aim: To understand the impact of virtual care on healthcare system performance within the context of Ontario Community Health Centres.

Design and Setting: Thematic analysis of qualitative interviews with thirty-six providers and thirty-one patients.

Methods: Semi-structured phone interviews were conducted in the fall of 2020. Interviews were recorded, transcribed verbatim and analyzed thematically using the quadruple aim framework.
Results: Virtual care had removed certain barriers to care. Patients and providers, found that phone visits impacted quality of care due to the inability to read body language and relying solely on patient descriptions. Video visits allowed for a similar experience to in-person visits but technical issues constrained this. Depending on the service provided, some providers felt they were not providing the same quality of care. Providers reported reductions in no-show rates and highlighted the efficiency of virtual appointments. Providers also found they were able to collaborate at a similar level prior to the pandemic and saw improvements in work-life balance. Overall, patients and providers alike preferred virtual visits with those known to them and for less-complex/transactional aspects of care.

Conclusion: Our study described positive and negative impacts on patient care, population health, health system costs and provider experience. These results will be useful for primary care organizations in post-pandemic planning, however future research is needed for a deeper exploration of the impact on quality of care specifically for more complex health concerns.
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How this fits: The COVID-19 pandemic and the introduction of various restrictions resulted in drastic changes to “traditional” primary health care service delivery modalities. However, only a handful of studies have explored how use of virtual care has impacted healthcare system performance using a standardized framework. This study explores the impact of transitioning to virtual care within the context of Ontario Community Health Centres using the quadruple aim as a guiding framework. The findings of this paper describe the impact from both the patient and provider perspective and will therefore be useful for primary care organizations in post-pandemic planning.

Introduction

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and introduction of various restrictions including government mandated physical distancing, resulted in drastic changes to “traditional” primary healthcare service delivery modalities. This drastic shift involved moving from primarily in-person delivery to massive widespread adoption of virtual delivery of care. Recognizing that this adoption will have a lasting effect on primary healthcare, many studies have been recently published on the experiences of patients and providers. These studies have looked at modality preferences, as well as negative and positive impacts on the quality of services delivered, including patient-provider relationships, quality of care, access to care, and on costs. However, very few studies have used this opportunity to understand how use of virtual care impacts healthcare system performance using the quadruple aim as a standardized framework.

The Alliance for Healthier Communities embarked on a research study to explore Ontario Community Health Centres’ (CHCs) experience with rapidly transitioning to the greater use of virtual modalities (i.e. phone, video, text/email) for delivering primary healthcare. CHCs, which are comprehensive, salary-based primary care organizations, adhere to an evidence-informed model of care called the Model of Health and Wellbeing. This model provides a roadmap for primary healthcare delivery and is comprised of principles related to health equity, social determinants of health, team-based care, to name a few. The aim of this study was to understand the impact of virtual care on healthcare system performance in the context of CHCs, using the quadruple aim as a guiding framework.
Methods

Study design

This study was a part of a larger cross-sectional study conducted to explore CHC experiences with adopting greater use of virtual care for one-on-one visits.\textsuperscript{12} For the qualitative aspect, we used a descriptive multi case-study approach.\textsuperscript{13} The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Board at Western University (#116036).

Theoretical Framework

The quadruple aim;\textsuperscript{14-16} is a widely accepted framework for healthcare system design consisting of four objectives; improving the patient and caregiver experience, improving the health of populations, reducing cost, and improving provider experience (see figure 1). This framework was chosen as it assesses multiple domains of providing high quality care.

Recruitment and Sampling

From the larger study, 33 Ontario CHCs had volunteered to participate in the qualitative portion of the study.\textsuperscript{12} Of those, 6 were purposefully selected to maximize variation in rurality, northern geography, year established, priority populations served, and size (indicated by number of staff employed at the CHC). We employed a convenience sampling strategy to recruit interview participants through staff contact. Staff/providers contacted patients who had received care virtually and had access to a phone for the interview. Interested patients were then contacted by the first author who introduced themselves, their role at the Alliance for Healthier Communities, and the purpose of the study.


**Researcher Characteristics**

Research team members were of different cultural and disciplinary backgrounds, however all had previously worked with CHCs in some capacity, either through employment or conducting research. The team included those with clinical experience working in primary care settings with diverse populations as well as varying levels of experience with conducting qualitative and health services research. Interviews were carried out by the first author who identifies as female.

**Data collection**

A semi-structured interview guide was developed to understand the experiences of primary healthcare providers (i.e. primary care providers and interprofessional team members) and patients with virtual delivery of care at their respective CHC. We used existing literature and the quadruple aim framework to design the interview guide. The guides explored perceived benefits and challenges of virtual delivery of care, impact on quality of care and interest in virtual care post-pandemic (see appendix 1). Interviews took place during the fall of 2020 and ran for 20-30 minutes. Interviews were conducted by the first author over phone, recorded and transcribed verbatim. Informed consent was obtained from all participants and all transcripts were anonymized. Interviews were conducted until no new themes had emerged.

**Data Analysis**

Thematic analysis was conducted in NVivo (version 12) using Morse’s four stages approach as outlined by Houghton (2014). Strategies employed within each stage were guided by Miles et al (2014). The first stage of analysis (i.e. comprehending) consisted of familiarizing oneself with existing literature and transcripts, and initial coding using the “broad coding” approach. Coding of transcripts was done independently by the first author and two research students. Transcripts were then compared to generate a codebook which was maintained by the researcher. The second stage (i.e. synthesizing) involved identifying patterns across cases using pattern coding. In this stage, transcripts were coded for a second round and reviewed again to ensure inter-rater agreement and credibility of analysis. This was followed by examining relationships among the data and testing them against the data in the third stage (i.e. theorizing). The final stage of analysis (i.e. recontextualization) involved comparing our findings against existing literature. Coders were in agreement for the majority of coding and disagreements regarding interpretation of codes were resolved between the coders.

**Results**

**Participants**

Thirty-one patients and 36 providers were interviewed (see table 1). The majority of patients were age 46 and over (64%), only had phone appointments (58%), had a virtual visit with their primary care provider (77%) and had no experience with virtual care prior to the pandemic (84%). Providers involved in the study included primary care providers, therapists/social workers and physiotherapists. They were primarily 31-45 years of age (50%), were employed at the centre for more than 5 years (61%), and had minimal experience with virtual visits (67%).

Findings from patient and provider interviews have been categorized under each dimension of the quadruple aim framework. Illustrative quotes for themes and number of transcripts referenced, are highlighted in tables 2-5.

**Quadruple Aim 1: Improving Health of Populations**
Equitable Access

Patients and providers alike reported lower costs to patients as a result of transitioning to virtual visits, in particular for those patients who need to pay for transportation/parking, arrange childcare, or work fixed schedules and are required to take time off for visits. Patients with mobility/chronic health conditions which made travelling physically difficult and costly, also found virtual visits more accessible overall.

However, providers highlighted that virtual visits were less accessible for individuals living in poverty, newcomers and those who are experiencing homelessness due to limited/no access to technology. Older patients specifically, reported issues with digital literacy and patients from rural areas were further impacted by poor internet connection. According to providers those at risk of domestic violence and individuals who lived in shared homes had difficulty participating in virtual visits due to privacy and confidentiality limitations.

Quadruple Aim 2: Improving Patient and Caregiver Experience

Patient-provider relationships

An important consideration for both providers and patients was having an established relationship prior to participating in a virtual visit, regardless of modality. Generally, providers felt they could provide the same quality of care as in-person visits for patients known to them. Similarly, patients preferred virtual visits with providers with whom they had a trusting relationship. In fact, over two thirds of patients noted the importance of that historical relationship in contributing to their willingness and comfort in receiving care virtually.

During telephone visits, providers found their older patients were less likely to discuss their concerns compared to when seeing them in-person. They described difficulty connecting with these clients and providing reassurance as a potential explanation. Older patients who had received care over phone visits, also found it harder to connect to their regular provider(s) and described phone visits as impersonal. Video appointments were preferred for these reasons by both providers and patients.

Care Provision

In comparison to video visits, providers felt that phone visits had impacted their ability to provide quality care. This was due to relying purely on their patient’s descriptions and being unable to observe patient’s reactions to the information being discussed. These concerns were also cited by patients. Dietitians and therapists emphasized not being able to deliver the same quality of care due to the inability to use educational materials. Some were able to mitigate this by emailing resources however this was dependent on the patient’s digital literacy. Some patients found counselling visits less therapeutic as they were uncomfortable discussing their concerns in a virtual space. Alternatively, patients with social anxiety described feeling more at ease when receiving care in the comfort of their home.

Maintaining Privacy

Providers suggested that patients seemed less concerned about their privacy especially during phone visits, as they would sometimes answer while busy with other tasks or with others present. This also frequently resulted in patients becoming distracted during visits. In some cases, patients were unable to maintain privacy due to their living situations, though in other instances, providers suggested that patients may view these visits as less formal.
Technical issues

The most common technical issue mentioned was unstable internet connection during video visits, often leading to poor video and audio quality. Although patients preferred video visits, some opted for phone due to this and because they found switching modalities when video did not work disruptive to their care. Providers found video visits challenging when they had to assist patients in resolving technical issues, leaving less time for the actual appointment. Patients with limited digital literacy expressed feelings of frustration when setting up their video visits and trying to minimize technical issues on their end. Providers also found it challenging to use their personal phones for phone visits which required blocking their numbers, resulting in patients not answering and being unable to return calls.

Aspects of care suited for virtual delivery

Patients and providers alike, were in agreement that virtual visits were suitable for follow-up calls, medication reviews, and prescription renewals. Both also felt that virtual visits were convenient for those with chronic health conditions if they were familiar enough with their condition. In regards to counselling, both preferred virtual visits for mild cases of anxiety or depression. Physiotherapists were happy to offer virtual visits after the initial visit, so they could fully assess their patient’s situation and have a better picture of their concerns. Patients found that virtual physiotherapy visits worked better when they were performing exercises they had done before.

Quadruple Aim 3: Reducing Costs

Improving Efficiency

Almost half of all providers reported improvements in their no-show rate. Reasons cited included stay at home orders, removing access-related barriers for patients, and the ability to reach patients even if they had forgotten their appointment. Providers were also able to follow up with other patients during missed or cancelled visit slots. A third of all providers found they had an easier time keeping visits on time as they did not have to wait for the patient to walk in or to pack up their belonging when leaving. Generally, providers found it more time-efficient to conduct follow-up visits virtually.

Quadruple Aim 4: Improving Provider Experience

Team Communication

Providers used the instant messaging feature of CHC’s electronic medical record system to maintain a similar level of communication as prior to the pandemic. Overall, providers felt that they were generally able to collaborate with their peers when providing care, only the method of communication had changed. Some providers also mentioned the benefit of their managers setting up frequent meetings that allowed staff to connect and discuss issues they encountered during the transition.

Work-Life Balance

A quarter of providers, primarily from urban centres had expressed the benefits of having extra time in their day due to not having to commute to work during the week.

Additional Training

Some providers commented that having additional training for navigating virtual platforms as well as adapting care for virtual delivery would have better prepared them when conducting video visits.
Physiotherapists and providers offering counselling services in particular highlighted the challenge of adapting care provision for virtual delivery and had concerns around treatment effectiveness.

Table 1. Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients (n=31)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-60</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61+</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visit Types</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone only</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video only</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone and Video</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provider Types Seen Virtually</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapists/Social Worker</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiotherapists</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past experience with virtual care</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No experience</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Providers (n=36)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-45</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employed &gt;5 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provider Type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapist/Social Worker</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiotherapist</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past Experience with virtual care</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No experience</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Quadruple Aim 1: Improving Health of Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Illustrative Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equitable Access</strong></td>
<td>“My biggest barrier to healthcare even before the pandemic, was transportation. When your appointment runs two hours late and you’re sitting in a waiting room, there are better things that you could be doing with your day as opposed to having to navigate public transit.” – London Intercommunity Health Centre Patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n= 25/31 patient transcripts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=36/36 provider transcripts)</td>
<td>“There are days that I can’t get up and get to the center, but now I can address those problems. I would imagine if there’s other handicapped people that would be a real asset for them too.” – Chatham-Kent Patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“For one of my patients, it would cost her $20 to come here and go back home. So, of course this eliminates that cost for a lot of people.” Chigamik Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“The limitations have much to do with poverty as anything else.” – London Intercommunity Health Centre Provider</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Quadruple Aim 2: Improving Patient and Caregiver Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Illustrative Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient-Provider relationships</strong></td>
<td>“I wasn’t worried about my care because I knew the person that I was primarily dealing with.” – Southeast Ottawa Patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n= 25/31 patient transcripts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=34/36 provider transcripts)</td>
<td>“I don’t think I would have done virtual care for my counselling visits. Some of the things that [provider’s name] and I discuss, is built on that trust. I wouldn’t have that trust with a new provider all of a sudden.” – Chatham-Kent Patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“For me I prefer in person or video. I can see the person and it’s a much better conversation, and to share body language too.” – NorWest Patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“For new patients, I would like having that initial appointment face to face, and then virtual. You can’t build trust and rapport very well over virtual visits, you need to be in-person for that.” – NorWest Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Care Provision</strong></td>
<td>“[...] I wanted to discuss one thing about my daughter’s thigh and I could only describe it with my words [...], so I sent a picture, but it wasn’t easier to assess it.” – Access Alliance Patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=14/31 patient transcripts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=36/36 provider transcripts)</td>
<td>“A virtual appointment with video or without does not give you the complete picture that you may have in regards to having somebody in front of you. It definitely has its limitations, especially if somebody is describing something quite minimally and it's actually quite significant.” – Southeast Ottawa Provider</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Maintaining Privacy**    | “I’ve explained to patients the issues of privacy and confidentiality. They’ll say “I’m at Walmart, but I don’t care. Let’s just talk now.” I found that hard
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Illustrative Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Technical Issues** | “[...] there are times when the technology just doesn’t cooperate and so we have to hop on a phone call instead, which can be really disruptive.” – Chigamik Patient  
“[...] I’m not a technical person, I’m stressed enough to make sure I do it right. Whereas, when you go to the office, you know you’re going to have your appointment no matter what.” – NorWest Patient  
“It’s very frustrating for us because we get behind and we’re spending time coaching the patient about how to use the technology. Not a good use of our time.” – London Intercommunity Health Centre Provider |
| **Aspects of care suited for virtual delivery** | “I do like the in-person scenario. However, there are things that come up from time to time that are easy for me to deal with by phone.” – Chigamik Patient  
“Yeah, I mean [virtual appointments] are so much easier. I probably benefit more from my therapy sessions because I’m more relaxed at home and so I’m able to be more present because I didn’t have all of that stress of getting there.” – London Intercommunity Health Centre Patient  
“I think that virtual care is excellent in certain ways [...] I would often question why I’m bringing an 85-year-old woman out of her home in February to come talk about her blood work or her bone density test?” – Chigamik Provider  
“[...] personally, I prefer in person. That’s always better for counseling. You need to understand the person, the story, how they feel, how they lived through their issues, and all the clues are important that you see in person.” – Chatham-Kent Provider |

Table 4. Quadruple Aim 3: Reducing Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Illustrative Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Greater Efficiency** | “I have a lot fewer no shows because I can catch them with the phone or with video. This has removed barriers so patients can actually come to counseling where if they were coming physically, they’re not going to show up.” - Chigamik Provider  
“Usually, I give them a call, sometimes if they don’t answer right away, I call them again ten minutes later or try a different number. In-person, I wouldn’t be able to do, it’s either they show up or they don’t.” – NorWest Provider |
Table 5. Quadruple Aim 4: Improving Provider Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Illustrative Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Team Communication         | “[...] management started a COVID café, which was not only a chance to learn different things relevant for your job, but also to connect with other staff members and feel less isolated.” - Southeast Ottawa Provider  
                             | “The system that we’ve got is so user friendly to be able to stay connected with my colleagues. No, it's still just as good as ever.” – London Intercommunity Health Centre Provider |
| Work-Life Balance          | “[...] it's been amazing to have more time in the morning. You don’t spend all that time getting ready, you can take a walk, and enjoy the sunshine with your coffee outside. When your workday ends at four, it really ends, there’s no commute, so those are definitely a personal benefit.” – Southeast Ottawa Provider |
| Additional Training        | “There was no training on how to adapt your delivery of care virtually or even how to use maybe the PS Suites video platform.” – NorWest Provider  
                             | “I think the only hindrance was technological. The fact that we were all-- I don’t think many of us had used Zoom before. There was a learning curve there.” – Access Alliance Provider |

Discussion

Summary

Our study sought to explore the impact of transitioning to greater use of virtual care on healthcare system performance within the context of CHCs. Details around the processes involved in this transition have been described elsewhere. Using our theoretical framework, we found both positive and negative impacts on population health, patient experience, health system costs and provider experience. The transition to virtual delivery of care had removed access barriers, including cost and transportation, but the need for technology created new barriers. Concerning patient experience, provider relationships were easily maintained through virtual visits with the exception of older patients. Quality of care however was impacted during phone visits when being physically present was required for adequate care provision. Video visits allowed for a similar experience to in-person visits however technical issues constrained this. Despite these challenges, patients and provider alike voiced preference for continuing the option for virtual visits for specific aspects of their care.

Providers revealed that they had a harder time providing care for older patients and patients who were new to the practice. Depending on the service provided, some also did not feel that they were providing the same quality of care due to limitations of phone visits, challenges in maintaining privacy, and technical issues. In this study, the quadruple aim of reducing costs was related to reported reductions in no-show rates and cancelled visits, utilizing missed or cancelled visits to follow-up with other clients, and virtual appointments being more time efficient than in-person. With respect to provider experience, our study found providers were able to collaborate with peers at a similar level prior to the pandemic and
saw improvements in work-life balance. However, additional training would have been beneficial in helping providers adapt care provision for virtual delivery.

Comparison to Literature

Although very few studies have used the quadruple aim framework to understand the impact of virtual care during the COVID-19 pandemic, many of our findings have been reflected in other recently published literature. Studies have noted poor internet connection, absence of physical examination and loss of human connection and social contact as negatively impacting patient’s experiences with virtual care. Other research has described impacts on patient-provider relationships due to lack of body language, confidentiality concerns, and technical issues. Two studies in particular similarly highlighted the importance of having an established and trusting patient-provider relationship for successful virtual visits.

Other studies identified similar specific aspects of care that may be better suitable for virtual delivery. For example, issues that do not require physical assessment, follow-up test results, counselling, discussing treatment options and management of chronic diseases. Comparable to our study, mental health was described as the least desirable for virtual modalities and in one study there was a split with some patients preferring in-person visits as those felt more therapeutic and others preferring phone visits as those felt more comfortable.

Like several other studies, we found that virtual care delivery eliminated certain barriers such as costs and transportation, and increased access to care. Removing such barriers can lead to more equitable access and contribute to better population health, however technology requirements also create new barriers which should not be ignored. Studies have shown disparities between different populations accessing care virtually and have reported on the challenge of providing care to those with lower incomes or experiencing homelessness. Moving forward, ensuring equitable access will be essential for greater adoption of virtual modalities.

In regards to reducing health costs, one study similarly noted improvements in provider’s no show rates but did not elaborate further. Another study evaluating a telemedicine program found no changes in their no-show rates however did report increased efficiency of care as video visits were shorter than in-person visits.

A key aspect of the quadruple aim framework is improving provider experience and in our study providers cited improvement in work-life balance, however this was only cited by one other study. Providers within our study did not experience common challenges other providers had during the rapid transition, such as reimbursement and billing issues and poor integration of virtual care technologies within EMR systems. This was a direct result of CHC’s salary-based funding model in addition to their EMR system having video capabilities embedded within. However, providers in one study similarly reported that although virtual platforms were relatively easy to use, they did not have the opportunity to become comfortable with the platform prior to using it and formal training would have helped providers optimize the platform for virtual delivery. In the same study, providers highlighted that adapting interventions that required a physical examination was difficult and consequently many were concerned about diagnosis and treatment effectiveness. Given that some primary healthcare will continue to be offered and delivered virtually post-pandemic, dedicated training is essential for ensuring providers feel confident in providing care virtually.
**Strengths and Limitations**

Interviewing both providers and patients was a strength of this study as it provided a dual perspective on the rapid transition to virtual delivery of care. However, because our sample of patient participants was recruited using a convenience sampling strategy, our sample potentially favoured patients with positive experiences. In addition, our patient sample did not include those who were unable to access care virtually, further limiting the generalizability of our findings. We also did not include any provider or patient characteristics when citing quotes to protect their confidentiality.

**Future Directions and Conclusion**

In this study, we used the quadruple aim framework to explore the impact of using virtual delivery of care within Ontario CHCs. Our study described both positive and negative impacts on patient experience, population health, health system costs and provider experience. These results will be useful for primary care organizations in post-pandemic planning, however future research is needed for a deeper exploration of the impact on quality of care for complex health concerns.
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