

BJGP OPEN

Enhancing the management of long COVID in general practice: a scoping review

Brennan, Aimee; Broughan, John Michael; McCombe, Geoff; Brennan, John; Collins, Claire; Fawsitt, Ronan; Gallagher, Joe; Guérandel, Allys; O'Kelly, Brendan; Quinlan, Diarmuid; Lambert, John S; Cullen, Walter

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0178>

To access the most recent version of this article, please click the DOI URL in the line above.

Received 29 September 2021

Revised 08 December 2021

Accepted 13 December 2021

© 2022 The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Published by BJGP Open. For editorial process and policies, see: <https://bjgpopen.org/authors/bjgp-open-editorial-process-and-policies>

When citing this article please include the DOI provided above.

Author Accepted Manuscript

This is an 'author accepted manuscript': a manuscript that has been accepted for publication in BJGP Open, but which has not yet undergone subediting, typesetting, or correction. Errors discovered and corrected during this process may materially alter the content of this manuscript, and the latest published version (the Version of Record) should be used in preference to any preceding versions

Box 1. Search strategy

((Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome OR long covid OR chronic covid syndrome OR long haul COVID OR long-haul COVID OR long hauler COVID OR post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection OR COVID-19 OR COVID19 OR COVID OR coronavirus disease 2019 OR COVID-19 Virus Disease OR SARS-CoV-2 Infection OR 2019 Novel Coronavirus Infection OR COVID*) AND (General pract* OR Family pract*))

Accepted Manuscript - BJGP Open - BJGPO.2021.0178

Enhancing the management of Long COVID in general practice: a scoping review.

Aimee Brennan¹, John Broughan¹, Geoff McCombe¹, John Brennan², Claire Collins³, Ronan Fawsitt^{4,5}, Joe Gallagher¹, Allys Guérandel^{1,6}, Brendan O'Kelly^{1,7}, Diarmuid Quinlan³, John S Lambert^{1,7}, Walter Cullen¹

¹ School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.

² Royal College of Physicians of Ireland, Dublin, Ireland.

³ Irish College of General Practitioners, Dublin, Ireland.

⁴ Castle Gardens Surgery, Kilkenny, Ireland.

⁵ Ireland East Hospital Group, Dublin, Ireland.

⁶ Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health Research, St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland.

⁷ Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland.

Corresponding Author:

John Broughan (john.broughan@ucd.ie)

Accepted Manuscript - BJGP Open - BJGPO.2021.0118

Abstract

Background: Long COVID is a multifaceted condition, and it has impacted a considerable proportion of those with acute-COVID-19. Affected patients often have complex care needs requiring holistic and multidisciplinary care, the kind routinely provided in general practice. However, there is limited evidence regarding GP interventions.

Aim: This study aimed to address this issue by conducting a scoping review of literature on GP management of Long COVID.

Design: Arksey and O'Malley's six-stage scoping review framework with recommendations by Levac et al. was used.

Method: PubMed, Google Scholar, the Cochrane Library, SCOPUS, and Google searches were conducted to identify relevant peer-reviewed/grey literature, and study selection process was conducted according to the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews guidelines. Braun and Clarke's 'Thematic Analysis' approach was used to interpret data.

Results: Nineteen of 972 identified papers were selected for review. These included peer-reviewed articles and grey literature spanning a wide range of countries. Six themes were identified regarding GP management of Long COVID, these being: (i) GP uncertainty, (ii) Listening and empathy, (iii) Assessment and monitoring of symptoms, (iv) Coordinating access to appropriate services, (v) Facilitating provision of continual and integrated multi-disciplinary care and (vi) Need to facilitate psychological support.

Conclusion: The findings show that GPs can and have played a key role in the management of Long COVID, and that patient care can be improved through better understanding of patient experiences, standardised approaches for symptom identification/treatment, and facilitation of access to multidisciplinary specialist services when needed. Future research evaluating focused GP interventions is needed.

Keywords: COVID-19; Delivery of Healthcare; General Practice; Long COVID; Post-Acute COVID-19 Syndrome; Primary Health Care

Accepted Manuscript - BJGP Open - BJGPO.2021.0178

How this fits in

Knowledge around COVID-19's long-term health impacts has grown. But little is known about the role which GPs can play in promoting affected patients' outcomes. This study provides a comprehensive account of existing research around best practice for GP management of patients with Long COVID. The findings indicate that patient care can be improved through better understanding of patient experiences, standardised approaches for symptom identification/treatment, and facilitation of access to multidisciplinary specialist services when needed.

Accepted Manuscript - BJGP Open - BJGPO.2021.0178

Introduction

A considerable proportion of people with acute COVID-19 remain unwell beyond three weeks, although the exact prevalence of ongoing issues among post-COVID-19 patients has not yet been firmly established (1-3). Knowledge gaps regarding the prevalence of Long COVID are likely owing to several factors, perhaps notably the significant heterogeneity in Long COVID definitions to date (e.g., 'post-acute COVID-19', 'Chronic COVID-19', 'Ongoing symptomatic COVID-19', 'post-COVID-19 syndrome') (4, 5), and the fact that Long COVID case recording practices are not commonly used within clinical settings, and certainly not to the same extent that acute-COVID-19 cases are (5-8).

Common Long COVID symptoms include fatigue, dyspnoea, chest pain, fever, palpitations, muscle/joint aches, metabolic disturbances, cognitive impairment, headaches, abdominal pain, new onset seizures, and dizziness (6, 7, 9, 10). Patients report a substantial impact on quality of life and social functioning, with feelings of helplessness, uncertainty, fatigue, and inability to participate in normal activities contributing strongly (11, 12).

There is presently limited evidence and understanding concerning management of Long COVID. Emerging research indicates that continuity of care is important due to the condition's ongoing nature, particularly with regard to support for and monitoring of symptoms (13). Further, the multifaceted nature of observed symptoms means that most patients can be managed in the community by holistic carers and multidisciplinary teams, with referral to specialty services when necessary (9, 14). Integration of care systems is especially crucial to ensure that affected patients' care needs are met (15, 16).

Thus, it has been claimed that primary care is well suited to managing patients with Long COVID (5, 9, 17). However, research outlining the potential contributions of individual professions working in primary care is lacking, and this is very much the case regarding research around the role of general practitioners (GPs). This study aims to address this problem by reviewing the extant literature on best practice for GP management of Long COVID. It is hoped that the study's findings will serve as a useful resource for GPs seeking evidence-based guidance around care for affected patients.

Methods

Scoping review methods were chosen to establish an overview of emerging literature regarding GP management of Long COVID, with an aim to identifying key concepts and knowledge gaps around the issue. The scoping review framework used comprises a six-stage process developed by Arksey

and O' Malley (18) with recommendations by Levac et al. (19). A protocol was not produced for the review.

Step 1: Identifying the research question

The question “How can GPs enhance the management of patients with Long COVID in general practice?” was developed according to gaps in the literature, national (i.e., Irish) and international health policy priorities (20-22), and the outcomes of consultation with clinical/research experts in general practice, infectious diseases, and psychiatry.

Step 2: Identifying relevant papers:

Initial database searching was undertaken on the 11/06/2021, and a reading list containing relevant papers was generated. This was followed by identification of key words from the titles and abstracts of papers on the reading list, and the conducting of a second and more comprehensive database search using the identified keywords, which was completed on the 23/06/2021. The databases searched were PubMed, Google Scholar, the Cochrane Library, and SCOPUS. Google searches were also performed to identify relevant grey literature. Search terms were broadly grouped under headings relating to COVID-19 infection, persistent COVID-19, and general practice (see Box 1). The reference lists of relevant papers were manually searched to identify additional papers.

<<Insert Box 1>>

Step 3: Selecting papers:

Initial searches/screening was conducted by one reviewer (AB), these search results were examined, duplicates were removed, and the following two levels of screening were conducted: (1) a title/abstract review (AB) and (2) full-text review. Full-text screening was performed independently by two reviewers (AB & JB), and any disagreements regarding study inclusion/exclusion were resolved through discussion by these reviewers. As is tradition with scoping reviews, inclusion criteria were broad, thus facilitating inclusion of both peer reviewed and grey literature. There were also no inclusion restrictions on research designs or degree of paper quality.

Papers were included if:

- They discussed management of Long COVID in general practice.
- Their full text was available in English.

Papers were excluded if:

- They did not discuss management of Long COVID in general practice.

- Their full text was not available in English.

Step 4: Charting data:

Relevant data from included papers was organized into a table by AB and JB (see Table S1) to facilitate analysis and the data was charted according to the guidance of Arksey and O'Malley (18) under the headings: Author, Year, Location, Research Design, Title, Population, Definition(s) of persistent COVID-19 issues used, and Major findings.

Step 5: Collating, summarizing, and reporting results:

Data was collated, presented, and reported by two independent reviewers (AB & JB) to ensure rigour in line with Braun and Clarke's 'Thematic Analysis' approach (23). As per Braun and Clarke's guidelines, familiarisation with the data was gained via the study search/screening processes, codes relevant to the study's aims were extracted and collated into themes using NVivo12 software, and these themes were refined prior to composition of this study's results section.

Stage 6: Consultation:

As per Arksey and O'Malley and Levac et al.'s recommendations (18, 19), papers were also included and interpreted based on consultation with experts in general practice, infectious diseases, and psychiatry (19). Stakeholder consultation involved sharing study aims and working drafts with stakeholders for feedback purposes, and stakeholders provided ongoing consultation through email and online video conferencing platforms.

Results

Initial searching identified 972 papers. Eight more were identified following collaborator consultation and reference list reviewing. Two hundred and thirty duplicates were removed, leaving 750 articles for screening. Seven hundred and eleven papers were excluded following title/abstract reviewing as they were not relevant to the research question. The remaining 39 papers underwent full-text review and 19 were identified for inclusion. The PRISMA extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA ScR) flowchart reported outlines the study selection process (Figure 1).

<<Insert Figure 1 here>>

Description of included papers

Six articles were guideline documents delivered on behalf of prominent healthcare institutions in the UK, Canada, and Australia (e.g., NICE, NHS, RCGP) (15, 16, 24-27), three were qualitative studies involving in-depth interviews and focus groups (12, 28, 29), two were editorials (17, 30), two were case studies (14, 31), there was one international policy brief (22), one cohort study (32), one pilot study (33), one 'practice pointer' (9), one literature review (34), and one mixed-method study involving both survey and interview methods (35) (see Table S1).

Integrated findings

Thematic analysis of the included papers resulted in identification of the six themes outlined below.

GP uncertainty

Six papers documented GP uncertainty when managing patients with Long COVID (12, 25, 28-30, 35). Patients reported self-advocating because GPs were unsure how to investigate and manage symptoms (28). Meanwhile, GPs reported being uncertain on how to diagnose Long COVID issues, a problem that largely stems from the fact that multiple persistent COVID-19 definitions have been proposed so far and the multiplicity of Long COVID symptoms, many of which overlap with other conditions that can arise separate to, or as a result of COVID-19 (31). GPs also described a lack of guidance when managing COVID-19 patients discharged from hospital, stating that the true level of need was only understood once patients were home (35). Further, GPs called for more guidance with regards to steering patients' care and recovery (25, 29, 35), and stated that such guidance should be collated by and channelled through a single professional group or national body to avoid 'guideline fatigue' (35).

Listening and empathy

Four papers identified the need for GPs to listen to patients and demonstrate concern, empathy, and understanding (12, 25, 28, 30). Patients emphasised the importance of being believed, listened to, and having their symptoms taken seriously (12, 28, 30). Patients expressed difficulty in finding GPs who believe their symptoms are real, and they voiced disappointment with a perceived lack of interest and support from the GPs they consulted (12, 28). Patients also reported selectively disclosing symptoms to avoid symptoms being dismissed or negatively perceived by GPs (28).

Assessment and monitoring of symptoms

Seven papers highlighted GPs' roles in assessing and monitoring symptoms (9, 12, 15, 17, 26, 30, 34). Included papers indicated that GPs can guide initial assessments of physical/psychological

functioning, and that GPs can play a key part with regards to excluding alternative diagnoses and serious complications (15, 17, 30). The papers also recommended that GP assessment/monitoring activities be pragmatic, person-centred, holistic, and continual (15, 26). An NHS document advised that GPs assess/monitor people who experience new or persisting symptoms beyond 12 weeks (15), and it was also advised that GPs be mindful when assessing/monitoring vulnerable populations that have greater care needs (i.e., people who tested positive, have been hospitalised for COVID-19, and co-morbidity patients) (32, 33). NHS England has recommended using three screening tools to assess symptoms, functioning, and care needs, these being: the 'Newcastle post-COVID syndrome follow-up screening questionnaire', the 'COVID-19 Yorkshire rehabilitation screening tool', and the 'Post-COVID-19 functional status tool' (15). Included papers also stated that GP software can also play a role in reliably coding for all relevant data categories to assist with monitoring, whilst also indicating that surveillance systems are needed to monitor complications and long-term illness (12, 15).

Coordinating access to appropriate services

Included papers stressed that care accessibility is important for affected patients and that GPs can guide navigation of referral pathways (9, 30). Despite this, five papers described inadequate access to services for patients (9, 12, 25, 30, 35). The papers indicated that access to follow-up services needs improvement and that trusted guidelines should be translated into services (30). GPs reported finding referral to community follow-up services difficult and they expressed differing opinions about whose responsibility this is, as well as problems with waiting lists (35). One study showed that only 23% of survey respondents had access to a post-COVID clinic, and a similar number reported having restricted access for patients who have not received a positive COVID-19 test result (25). Only 7% of survey respondents felt they had good diagnostic testing access within the community (25). Lastly, patients have stated that they feel they are not entitled to access care due to the invisibility of symptoms and experiences of not being taken seriously (12).

Facilitating provision of continual and integrated multi-disciplinary care

Eight papers described GPs' roles in facilitating continual multi-disciplinary patient care (9, 14-16, 26, 30, 31, 35). Included papers indicated that most referrals to specialist services come from GPs and that strong links between GPs and specialist services are necessary to establish and maintain referral pathways (9, 16, 26, 31). On return to GP care following hospital discharge, GPs have expressed a need for better communication between GPs and hospital teams (14, 35). GPs have also described a need for improved follow-up services and they reported believing that community teams like those for stroke or cardiac rehabilitation should be set up for post-ICU COVID-19 patients (35). It has also

been claimed that access to post-COVID clinics for all suitable patients should be more available following GP referral (15).

Need to provide/ facilitate psychological support

Four papers described the need for GPs to facilitate psychological support (15, 17, 27, 35). GPs expressed concern about the complex psychological needs of patients recovering from severe COVID-19, and they stated that disproportionate emphasis has been placed on patients' physical needs (35). Included papers indicated that new or recurrent psychiatric symptoms should be assessed and managed as per normal GP guidelines, and that GPs should screen for mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders, as well as PTSD, and ideation of homicide, self-harm, and/or suicide (27). It was noted that referral should be considered in line with existing local mental health pathways where a mental health condition has been identified as the predominant symptom (15), and that GPs can improve patients' mental health by re-establishing social connections, encouraging community and peer support, and focusing attention on structural determinants (e.g., poverty, discrimination, social injustice) (17). It was also said that GPs may offer reassurance and consider recommending nonpharmacological treatments including meditation, exercise, psychotherapy, and telemedicine resources (27). Lastly, GPs were advised to address physical symptoms that may indirectly contribute to poorer quality of life and subsequently adverse mental health and wellbeing (27).

Discussion

Summary

This review aimed to map the emergent literature regarding GP management of Long COVID. The findings indicate that GPs can and often do play a key role in the management of Long COVID, and that Long COVID care in general practice can be improved through better understanding of patient experiences, use of standardised approaches for symptom identification and treatment, and the facilitation of enhanced access to multidisciplinary specialist services for patients when needed.

Strengths and limitations

Knowledge regarding the scale, nature, and management of Long COVID is still emergent, and the exploratory nature of articles included in this review reflects this. The scoping review method used was therefore appropriate because scoping reviews are well suited to mapping literature around novel research areas that usually involve inductive knowledge acquisition approaches. The use of Arksey and O'Malley's scoping review framework (18) with revisions by Levac et al. (19), the PRISMA ScR framework (36), and Braun and Clarke's 'Thematic Analysis' approach (23) were also beneficial,

as they collectively ensured that the review's research development, paper selection, and data interpretation processes were conducted in a valid, transparent, and rigorous manner. However, this review's method also had limitations which should be considered. Notably, while we tried to be comprehensive in our approach, it is possible that some relevant literature was not identified by the search terms or databases used. Moreover, as is tradition with scoping reviews, assessment of research quality was not conducted. Only articles published in English were considered, and this approach may have resulted in the exclusion of relevant literature. Lastly, there was no patient and public involvement in the conduct of this review, an omission which may have adversely affected the study's applicability to these groups' experiences (37).

Comparison with existing literature

This study provides what is perhaps the most comprehensive account of research to date regarding GP management of Long COVID. Whilst an increasingly large number of published papers have examined care practices for affected patients (4, 6, 9, 11), few, and to our knowledge, no reviews, have focused as closely on the unique contributions that individual care professions (i.e., GPs) can offer. This study's key findings and recommendations also support several claims made by previous research on the topic of care provision for patients with Long COVID and health issues more broadly. For instance, this study's findings support previous claims that care providers (GPs and otherwise) may enhance patient outcomes by improving their understanding of patient experiences and perspectives (38), and by proactively availing of appropriate symptom assessment/treatment strategies and referral pathways (15, 16, 39).

Implications for research and practice

Firstly, it is worth acknowledging that COVID-19 has had considerable adverse impacts on general practice internationally, resulting in widespread reduced capacity and quality of patient care (40), as well as increased GP workload and burnout (41, 42). This study's findings may bring some clarity to the management of Long COVID in general practice, and so may warrant optimism in the general practice community. The findings may also inform patient care through encouraging greater listening and compassion for affected patients, an approach that aligns with person-centred care principles and one that can form a basis for partnership in co-producing better health outcomes (43-45). Furthermore, the findings may advise guidelines for symptom identification, diagnosis, treatment, and referral. These contributions may in turn help towards standardising ongoing care practices like those for other chronic conditions (46). Educational initiatives for GPs may also be helpful. Programs

aiming to promote GP understanding of patient perspectives may gain from inclusion of public and patient involvement (PPI) (47), and educational initiatives around best practice for diagnostic and referral procedures may be beneficial. Policymakers meanwhile are advised to support the implementation and trialling of recommendations in clinical settings. As mentioned, Long COVID is a multifaceted condition requiring multidisciplinary care. Thus, policy support may involve providing structures and resources for the implementation of interventions not only within general practice, but within wider health and social care systems using an integrated care approach akin to Ireland's Sláintecare model (48). As for future research, there is a need to develop and evaluate such interventions. This review's findings suggest that research examining interventions targeting enhancement of doctor-patient relationships, symptom identification/treatment practices, and integrated care systems may have most value.

Accepted Manuscript - BJGP Open - BJCP0-2021-0178

Funding

This study was funded by Ireland's Health Research Board (reference number: COV19-2020-123). The study contributes to a wider body of work being produced to attenuate the adverse effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on population health in Ireland (The North-Dublin COVID-19 Cohort ['ANTICIPATE'] Study). The study also received funding from the family of the late Dr Mary J Farrell, the Ireland East Hospital Group, and internal funding schemes at the UCD School of Medicine, and the UCD College of Health and Agricultural Sciences.

Ethical approval

Not required

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ireland's Health Research Board (HRB) [COV19-2020-123], the family of the late Dr Mary J Farrell, the Ireland East Hospital Group, the UCD School of Medicine, and the UCD College of Health and Agricultural Sciences for supporting this project.

Accepted Manuscript - BJGP Open - BJGPO.2021.0178

References

1. Aiyegbusi OL, Hughes SE, Turner G, et al. Symptoms, complications and management of long COVID: a review. *J R Soc Med.* 2021;114(9):428-42. doi: 10.1177/01410768211032850.
2. Michelen M, Cheng V, Manoharan L, Elkheir N, Dagens D, Hastie C, et al. What are the long-term symptoms and complications of COVID-19: a protocol for a living systematic review. *F1000Research.* 2020;9:1455. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.27284.2.
3. Tenforde MW, Kim SS, Lindsell CJ, Billig Rose E, Shapiro NI, Files DC, et al. Symptom Duration and Risk Factors for Delayed Return to Usual Health Among Outpatients with COVID-19 in a Multistate Health Care Systems Network - United States, March-June 2020. *MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report.* 2020;69(30):993-8. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6930e1.
4. Sisó-Almirall A, Brito-Zerón P, Conangla Ferrín L, et al. Long Covid-19: Proposed Primary Care Clinical Guidelines for Diagnosis and Disease Management. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18(8):4350. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18084350.
5. Berger Z, Assoumou S, Greenhalgh T. Long COVID and Health Inequities: The Role of Primary Care. *Milbank Q.* 2021; 99(2):519-541. doi: 10.1111/1468-0009.12505.
6. Pavli A, Theodoridou M, Maltezou HC. Post-COVID syndrome: Incidence, clinical spectrum, and challenges for primary healthcare professionals. *Arch Med Res.* 2021; 52(6):575-581. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed.2021.03.010.
7. Nabavi N. Long covid: How to define it and how to manage it. *Bmj.*2020; doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3489.
8. Sivan M, Rayner C, Delaney B. Fresh evidence of the scale and scope of long covid. *BMJ.* 2021; doi: 10.1136/bmj.n853. PMID: 33795224.
9. Greenhalgh T, Knight M, Buxton M, Husain L. Management of post-acute covid-19 in primary care. *Bmj.* 2020;370. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3026.
10. Simpson F, Chew-Graham C, Lokugamage A. Long COVID in children: the perspectives of parents and children need to be heard. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2021;71(706):216. doi: 10.3399/bjgp21X715769.
11. Ladds E, Rushforth A, Wieringa S, et al. Developing services for long COVID: lessons from a study of wounded healers. *Clin Med (Lond).* 2021;21(1):59-65. doi: 10.7861/clinmed.2020-0962.
12. Kingstone T, Taylor AK, O'Donnell CA, et al. Finding the 'right' GP: a qualitative study of the experiences of people with long-COVID. *bjgpopen.* 2020;4(5). doi: 10.3399/bjgpopen20X101143.
13. Barker-Davies RM, O'Sullivan O, Senaratne KPP, et al. The Stanford Hall consensus statement for post-COVID-19 rehabilitation. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;54(16):949-59. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102596.
14. O'Brien H, Tracey MJ, Ottewill C, et al. An integrated multidisciplinary model of COVID-19 recovery care. *Ir J Med Sci.* 2021;190(2):461-8. doi: 10.1007/s11845-020-02354-9.
15. National Health Service. National guidance for post-covid syndrome assessment clinics. 2020. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/11/C1248-national-guidance-post-covid-syndrome-assessment-clinics-v2.pdf>
16. National Health Service. Aftercare needs of inpatients recovering from COVID-19. . 2020. https://www.cambscommunityservices.nhs.uk/docs/default-source/luton-adults-general/c0388_after_care_needs_of_inpatients_recovering_from_covid-19_5_june_2020.pdf
17. Greenhalgh T, Knight M. Long COVID: A Primer for Family Physicians. *Am Fam Physician.* 2020;102(12):716-7. PMID: 33320511.
18. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J of Soc Res Methodol.* 2005;8(1):19-32. doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616
19. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci.* 2010;5(1):1-9. doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-5-69.

20. Government of Ireland. COVID-19: Resilience and recovery 2021 - The path ahead. Ireland: Government of Ireland; 2021. <https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/c4876-covid-19-resilience-and-recovery-2021-the-path-ahead/?app=true>
21. National Health Service. Long COVID: The NHS plan for 2021/22. England: National Health Service (NHS); 2021. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2021/06/C1312-long-covid-plan-june-2021.pdf>
22. Rajan S, Khunti K, Alwan N, et al. In the wake of the pandemic: Preparing for Long COVID. Copenhagen (Denmark): European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. 2021. PMID: 33877759.
23. Braun V, Clarke V. Thematic analysis. *Qual Res Psychol.* 2012; 3(2) 77-101.
24. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing the long-term effects of COVID-19. 2020; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng188/chapter/4-Planning-care>.
25. Royal College of General Practitioners. Management of the long term effects of COVID-19: The RCGP response and top tips for caring for our patients.2020; [V2GA for publication updated Management of the long term effects of COVID-19 formatted 29.10.20.pdf \(rcgp.org.uk\)](https://www.rcgp.org.uk/media/102020/Management-of-the-long-term-effects-of-COVID-19-formatted-29.10.20.pdf)
26. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. Caring for adult patients with post-COVID-19 conditions. 2020; [Post-COVID-19-conditions.pdf \(racgp.org.au\)](https://www.racgp.org.au/media/102020/Post-COVID-19-conditions.pdf)
27. Provincial Health Services Authority. Mental Health in “Long-COVID” – A Resource for GPs. 2021; [post covid-19 Mental Health in Long-COVID for GPs.pdf \(phsa.ca\)](https://www.phsa.ca/media/102020/post-covid-19-Mental-Health-in-Long-COVID-for-GPs.pdf)
28. Taylor AK, Kingstone T, Briggs TA, et al. 'Reluctant pioneer': A qualitative study of doctors' experiences as patients with long COVID. *Health Expect.* 2021; 24(3):833-842. doi: 10.1111/hex.13223.
29. Ladds E, Rushforth A, Wieringa S, et al. Persistent symptoms after Covid-19: qualitative study of 114 “long Covid” patients and draft quality principles for services. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2020;20(1):1-13. doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-06001-y.
30. Atherton H, Briggs T, Chew-Graham C. Long COVID and the importance of the doctor–patient relationship. *Br J Gen Pract;* 2021; doi: 10.3399/bjgp21X714641.
31. Parkin A, Davison J, Tarrant R, et al. A Multidisciplinary NHS COVID-19 Service to Manage Post-COVID-19 Syndrome in the Community. *J Prim Care Community Health.* 2021; doi: 10.1177/21501327211010994.
32. Lund LC, Hallas J, Nielsen H, et al. Post-acute effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection in individuals not requiring hospital admission: a Danish population-based cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2021; 21(10):1373–82. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00211-5.
33. Broughan J, McCombe G, Avramovic G, et al. General practice attendances among patients attending a post-COVID-19 clinic: a pilot study. *BJGP Open.* 2021; 5(3). doi: 10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0016.
34. Demeco A, Marotta N, Barletta M, et al. Rehabilitation of patients post-Covid-19 infection: a literature review. *J Int Med Res.* 2020;48(8). doi: 10.1177/0300060520948382
35. Castro-Avila AC, Jefferson L, Dale V, Bloor K. Support and follow-up needs of patients discharged from intensive care after severe COVID-19: a mixed-methods study of the views of UK general practitioners and intensive care staff during the pandemic's first wave. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11(5):e048392. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048392.
36. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018;169(7):467-73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.
37. Shokraneh F, Adams C, editors. Patient and public involvement in systematic reviews: a systematic review of literature. *Cochrane Colloquium Edinburgh;* 2018. [Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/FarhadShokraneh/publication/327838775_Patient_and_Public_Involvement_in_Systematic_Reviews_A_Systematic_Review_of_Literature/links/5ba8d21792851ca9ed2185b6/Patient-and-Public-Involvement-in-Systematic-Reviews-A-Systematic-Review-of-Literature.pdf]

38. Trzeciak S, Mazzarelli A, Booker C. Compassionomics: The revolutionary scientific evidence that caring makes a difference: Studer Group Pensacola, FL; 2019.
39. Goodwin N, Stein V, Amelung V. What is integrated care? In: Amelung V Stein V, Suter E, Goodwin N, Nolte E Balicer, R, editors: Springer; 2021. p. 3-25.
40. Lim J, Broughan J, Crowley D, et al. COVID-19's impact on primary care and related mitigation strategies: A scoping review. Eur J Gen Pract. 2021;27(1):166-75. doi: 10.1080/13814788.2021.1946681.
41. Crosbie B, O'Callaghan ME, O'Flanagan S, et al. A real-time measurement of general practice workload in the Republic of Ireland: a prospective study. Br J Gen Pract. 2020;70(696):e489-e96. doi: 10.3399/bjgp20X710429.
42. Iacobucci G. Burnout is harming GPs' health and patient care, doctors warn. BMJ; 2021. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n1823
43. Brennan J, Bourke M, O'Donnchadha R, Mulrooney A. What really matters to you? A study of public perspectives on general practice in Ireland. Ir Med J .2019; 112(1):854. PMID: 30719895.
44. Elwyn G, Nelson E, Hager A, Price A. Coproduction: when users define quality. BMJ Qual Saf. 2020;29(9):711-6. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2019-009830.
45. Health Service Executive. What Matters to You - Person and Family Engagement Ireland: Health Service Executive; 2021 [Available from: <https://www.hse.ie/eng/about/who/qid/person-family-engagement/resourcesqid/what-matters-to-you-person-and-family-engagement.html>].
46. Riordan F, McHugh, S, Marsden, P, et al. Audit report of the HSE midland Diabetes structured care program. Ireland: Department of Public Health, Health Service Executive; 2017.
47. Bowers B, Lovick R, Pollock K, Barclay S. Patient and public involvement in general practice research. Br J Gen Pract.2020;70(694):220-221.doi: 10.3399/bjgp20X709457.
48. Committee on the future of healthcare.Slaintecare report. Ireland;2017.

Box 1. Search strategy

((Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome OR long covid OR chronic covid syndrome OR long haul COVID OR long-haul COVID OR long hauler COVID OR post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection OR COVID-19 OR COVID19 OR COVID OR coronavirus disease 2019 OR COVID-19 Virus Disease OR SARS-CoV-2 Infection OR 2019 Novel Coronavirus Infection OR COVID*) AND (General pract* OR Family pract*))

Accepted Manuscript - BJGP Open - BJGPO.2021.0178

Fig 1. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA ScR) flowchart

